WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.		
Ge	rman Lizarraga Ochoa	Case Number:	CR-13-428-PHX-DGC
was present a	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 and was represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending tria	by a preponderance of the e	
I find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:	TENTOS OF TAGE	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
X	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	y.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	nforcement contact by fleeing	from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years	imprisonment.
The C Court at the tin	ourt incorporates by reference the material me of the hearing in this matter, except as it	findings of the Pretrial Service noted in the record.	es Agency which were reviewed by the
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of condition		ppearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION	
in a correction pending appear order of a cour	efendant is committed to the custody of the s facility separate, to the extent practicable al. The defendant shall be afforded a reason to the United States or on request of an abliver the defendant to the United States Manager APPEALS AN	e, from persons awaiting or se conable opportunity for private attorney for the Government, t	rving sentences or being held in custody consultation with defense counsel. On the person in charge of the corrections ppearance in connection with a court
IT IS (	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	_	
to deliver a cop District Court. from the date of	py of the motion for review/reconsideration Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., e of service of a copy of this order or after the the district court. Failure to timely file obje	to Pretrial Services at least on effective December 1, 2009, Ere oral order is stated on the re-	ne day prior to the hearing set before the Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days ecord within which to file specific written
Pretrial Service	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a es sufficiently in advance of the hearing be investigate the potential third party custodia	fore the District Court to allow	
DATE:1/	30/13	- Bridge	ridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge